



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| | | | | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/713,980 | 11/14/2003 | Brian D. Swander | 14917.0474US01 | 3167 |
| 27488 7590 02/09/2009 MERCHANT & GOULD (MICROSOFT) P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| PALIWAL, YOGESH | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 2435 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 02/09/2009 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/713,980

Applicant(s)

SWANDER ET AL.

Examiner

YOGESH PALIWAL

Art Unit

2435

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) YOGESH PALIWAL.

(3) _____.

(2) Gregory D. Leibold (Reg. No. 36,408).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 02 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes

e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-9, 18-22, 26 and 27.

Identification of prior art discussed: Zhou and Inoue.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative initiated this phone interview to discuss amendments filed on January 2, 2009. Agreement was reached that amended claims appear to overcome the previous rejection. However, further search and consideration is required prior to making any determination for allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Yogesh PalIWal/
Examiner, Art Unit 2435